their land and for all-round development through transfer of power; and

(b) if so, the details thereof; and if not the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SUBODH KANT SAHAY): (a) and (b) In December, 1988, the Sixth Schedule to the Constitution (Amendment) Act, 1988 was enacted with a view to confer more powers on the District Councils of Tripura and Mizoram. The Act also confers discretionary powers on the Governors of Mizoram and Tripura in dealing with some provisions of the Sixth Schedule. No further proposal for amendment of the Sixth Schedule to the Constitution for giving more autonomy to Tripura Tribal Areas Autonomous District Council has been received from the Government of Tripura.

C.B.I. Inquiry into pipe scandal in Tripura

3259. SHRI NARAYAN KAR: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether C.B.I. inquiry was demanded in connection with the recent pipe scandal in Tripura in which some of the State Ministers and I. A. S. officers were involved; and

(b) if so, what is Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SUBODH KANT SAHAY): (a) The CPM have alleged involvement of some State Ministers and an IAS officer of the State with regard to purchase of G.I. pipes and have demended a CBI-inquiry.

(b) The State Government do not find it necessary to have a CBI-inquiry into the matter.

Pay revision of class-I and class II officers of Major ports

3260. SHRI PRAVAT KUMAR SAMANTARAY: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the pay revision of Class II and Class I officers of major ports has not been done since 1980; and

(b) if so, by when Government propose to finalise it and what are the reasons for delay?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN): (a) and (b) The last pay revision of Class I and Class II officers of the Major Port Trusts and Dock Iabour Boards, was made for a period of five years from 1.8.1982. The Committee of the officers of the Ministry of Surface Transport, Major Port Trusts and Indian Ports Association appointed on 21.7.1988 to go into the question of pay revision including the structure of emoluments, allowances and conditions of service have submitted their report on 11.7.1990 on all aspects other than those relating to pay and dearness allowance. The pay revision will be finalised on receipt of the final report in the light of Government's guidelines in the matter.

Non-implementation of wage settlement for port workers

3261. SHRI PRAVAT KUMAR SAMANTARAY: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the attendance money payable to cargo handling workers/dock workers of all the major ports has not been paid as per the settlement of wages effecting from 1st January, 1988 with proportionate increase with reference to recommendation of wage revision committee;

(b) if so, which are the defaulting ports where the above settlement has not been implemented; and

(c) the steps Government propose to take to give effect to the said settlement?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN): (a) Wage Settlement dated from 1-1-1988 does not contain any specific clause regarding Attendance Allowance. Wage Revision Committee for Port and Dock Workers at Major Ports (1977) recommended that Attendance Allowance be paid uniformly in all major ports to such workers as were entitled to that allowance at that time at the rate of 1/60 of the monthly wage comprising basic pay and D.A. Accordingly such of the shore workers and dock workers in the Major, ports, who were then eligible for Attendance Allowance, are being paid Attendance Allowance as per the Committee's recommedation, taking into account the increase in wages effected from time to time.

(b) The cargo handling workers in Paradip and New Mangalore Ports were not under the employment of the respective Port Trusts during 1977 and as such the recommendation of the Wage Revision Committee regarding Attendance Allowance does not cover them.

(c) Do not arise.

Alleged beating of policemen in Greater Kailash, New Delhi

3262. SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to order a thorough probe into the alleged beating and handcuffing of a Sub-Inspector and a Head Constable at Greater Kailash, New Delhi during July, 1990;

(b) if so, the facts and details of the incident;

(c) whether the police officials involved in beating got false medical report from AIIMS; and

(d) if so, what action Government propose to take against the officials involved therein?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SUBODH KANT SAHAY): (a) and (b) The Delhi Police have reported that according to a complaint made to them, two officials of Delhi Police were stopped and abused by a beat constable of Police Station Greater Kailash and a personnel of Delhi Home Guards on the night of 25th July, 1990. When they objected to their behaviour, they were beaten up. They were subsequently joined by 4/5 other policemen and were taken to Police Station Greater Kailash where they were again beaten up and arrested in a false case. Commissioner of Police, Delhi has ordered an enquiry into the matter to be conducted by the Vigilance Branch of Delhi Police.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Action against the concerned police officials will depend on the result of enquiry.

Visit of Chinese delegation to India

3263. SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether a high level Chinese official delegation has recently visited the country and had meetings with different Ministers;

(b) if so, the details of discussions held during their visit:

(c) whether this visit will open the way for bilateral developmental programmes between two countries;

(d) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor: